App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1933. (Muzrai

File No. 89 33

Serial Nos. 1-3

Later References.

Muz B 86-34

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for August 34.

SUBJECT.

ding lead the management of
Sadaqa Maktab of Nelamangala
& its properties be entrusted to Mr
Syed Ahmed Hussain

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

Sanctions be recommend

Management of Saidia, etc. H.E.H.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 . | [App. F. III—2

Serial No	
1	Letter No 1035 dated [illegible] from the Hon'ble [illegible] with reference to his [illegible] requesting sanction to the [illegible] of the Makkan and its properties being entrusted to Syed Ahmed Hussain, Bailiff of the [illegible] Manager [illegible] in the event [illegible]

In the circumstances explained in para 2 of the letter, it is for orders whether the M.C's recommendation to entrust the management of the Makkan and its properties to Mr Syed Ahmed Hussain may not be accepted.

[illegible] 8/9/33

(i) The M.C's recommendation may be approved. 8/9/33

(H Secy)

2nd Member) 10/9/33

12/9

12/9

Second Secretariat Copy
11 SEP 1933
No. [illegible]

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0246 —GPB—15,000—22-8-32

File No.

of 193 .

General and
Secr

Petition from the Manager of the Makkam at Melamaiyur

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 89 of 193 (23)

Serial No.	
2	Petition dated 6.9.1933 from Mr Syed Haqquth Peeran Manager of the Makkam of Syed Sadheddin Hussaini, Melamaiyur. Represents that the allegations made against him, requesting for his removal from his office as Manager of the Makkam are false, and prays that the surplus amount to the credit of the Makkam may be ordered to be paid to him for effecting repairs to the Makkam and Tank, and that he may be exempted from paying the Reserve Funds so much for the present.
	The M.C. has recommended that the petitioner may be removed from the office and one Mr Syed Ahmed Hussain may be appointed in the place. This has been applied and orders are under issue (vide linked file)
	Submitted for orders whether the petition may not be sent to the M.C for a report and the orders passed in the linked file given effect to after considering that report
	Please see me 18/9/33

(a) Submitted in person 20/9/33. Returned for detailed note on the allegations in the petition.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

3)

File No. Mis 88 of 1933. | General and R Secretaria

9) The grounds on which the removal of the petitioner Mr. Syed Hayaraath Peeran from the office of Marakkar of the Makkan at Nainarnagoil is recommended by the D.C. are that Mr Peeran is staying in Madras, that he has not been evincing any interest in the affairs of the institution and that he has allowed the jodi to fall and the reserve fund to fall into arrears.

10) As regards payment of the jodi to Eyalt and the reserve fund, the petitioner has stated (vide paras 3-6 of the petition under disposal) that the total realisation towards the Kandagaram of the lands endowed to the Makkan was Rs 600 against which he was to pay Rs 596 including the jodi of Rs 240 and the reserve fund of Rs 500, that urgent repairs had to be effected to the Makkan and the Tank in the village, that in case the whole amount of Rs 600 had be paid as demanded by him, there would be no money left for the repairs mentioned ~~referred to~~ above and that he therefore requested the M.C on 15.11.1932 through a petition, to waive payment of the reserve fund and to sanction the surplus amount at the credit of the Makkan for effecting repairs to the Makkan and the Tank.

Petn from the Manager of the Makkan at Velakanyelo

[App. F. III—2

eral and Revenue Secretariat File No. of 193 J.

Serial No.

1 He further states (para 7 of the petition) that the M.C has not passed any orders on his petition.

2 The records now received from the M.C do not throw any light on this point.

3 As regards the other causes which are put forth by the D.C, as necessitating his removal from the office, the petitioner submits (para 9 of the petition) that they are all false, that he is doing his best in the matter (of managing the Makkan) and that he has been petitioning to the authorities for grant of necessary funds but without any good result.

4 The records now received do not show whether any explanation was obtained from the petitioner about the allegations made against him, for removing him from the office.

5 In the circumstances it is therefore for consideration whether a report may not be called for from the M.C in the matter and the orders passed in the linked file (A) quashing the petitioner's removal from the office, given effect to, after considering the report.

6 Reference para 8 (8a) supra.

A 30/9

7 A- This may be done

2/10/33

7/10

9 [illegible] 10 3.10.33

Office of the Second Member of Council
4 OCT. 1933
No. G.7.

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-32

5)
Petition from the Manager of the M
Melamaruppu

File No. 89 of 193 . General and Secretariat

A draft to the M.C calling for a report is put up. 21) Issued 7/10

8/5 Dft 9/10

423 dated 11.10.1933 3

The M.C. in Mysore forwards the petition of Mr Kaysath praying for a detailed report on the several points urged therein.

Lus 7/10.

Letter no 1058/32-33 of 14.7.34
From the M.C in Mysore

Submits a report on the petition as called for, above.

24 From the reports of the S.D. Officer and the D.C Bangalore, it is seen that the allegations for which the removal of the Makkandar from office has been recommended, seem to be substantiated against the petitioner. It is stated that in spite of notice, the Makkandar has not appeared in person and explained his position and that he has failed to produce the accounts for scrutiny. The Village Officers have not been paid their patgi. The Village accounts subsequent to 1929-30 are not posted as they are held up with the Makkandar, the scale of income and expenditure varies

E. D.

39 61

Management of Sadruddin Makkan Nelawanpola

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 39 of 1933. — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

in 1932, has not been given effect to and no meetings of the Committee have been held. In the circumstances the D.C. and the M.C also consider that no useful purpose will be served in restoring the management of the Makkan to the petitioner

A- 23/ If appellate orders already passed appealing of the M.C's decision mandatory (para 4 of the Notes) and held up (paras 15-19 supra) for consideration of the petition, will be given effect to.

24/ Reference: para 19 supra

DAS 25/7 MW 27/7/34

25 A- may be affirmed

28/7/34

JC Seery)

2nd dcr)

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 4 AUG. 1934 No. 6715

26 3.8.34

3/18

27 SLO 14/8

28/ 2/1670

TYPING SECTION 20 AUG. 1934 GENL. & REV. SECTT.

A draft G.O. is herewith. This will be for consideration if the party has to be heard in the matter before final order is made

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12-6 34

Not necessary - may be put up for perusal

2/18

DAS 20/8 MW 20/8/34

2/18

7

File No. 39 of 193 .

General and Reven Secretariat

229-31 ci 22.8 1934

5

C.13]

No. 1058/32-33

Muz 89--33-1

Exe 3+1 file

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 25th August ... ... 193 3.
29.

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ,. M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

A. N. Raghavachar, Esq., M.A.,
Offg. *Secretary to the Government of His Highness*
the Maharaja of Mysore.
Financial ... ...*Department.*



29/8

SIR,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No.2050/Muz. dated 17th March '31 forwarding for disposal petition from Zahirabi of Bangalore City, urging the claims of her son to manage the affairs of the Badruddin Makkan at Nelamangala, I have the honor to forward herewith copies of the marginally noted letters received from the

Letter No.C.3-2642/32	dated	20-3-'33	) Deputy Commissioner, Banga-
" do.	"	8-8-'33	) lore District, stating that

the where-abouts of the petitioner are not known, that he (the Deputy Commissioner) is not in favour of appointing the petitioner as Manager for reasons explained in his letter dated 10th August '29 (copy enclosed for ready reference) and recommending that the management of the Makkan and its endowed properties may be entrusted to Mr. Syed Ahmed Hussein, a hakdar of the Makkan and the Desh Imam of the Jumma Masjid at Chikmagalur who has agreed to come and stay in Nelamangala permanently.

2. In view of the above and of the fact that Mr. Syed Nazarath Peeran Saheb who was associated with the Makkandar in the management of the Makkan in pursuance of G.O. No.996-99, Mu.176-33-14 dated 1st November 1930 is staying in Madras and has not been evincing any interest in the affairs of the institution and the dues to Government and the reserve fund have also fallen into arrears, I beg to recommend that the Deputy Commissioner's proposal may be sanctioned tentatively for a period of two years.

5. The connected file of vernacular papers is enclosed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

/0

tter No.C3-1357/25 dated 10-8-29 from the Deputy Commissioner, Panga District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Restoration of the management of Saduddin Makkan and the Inam village endowed to it in Nelamangala Taluk.

With reference to your letter No.368/28-29 dated 27th July 1929 forwarding a copy of D.O. letter No.134 dated 9th July 1929 received from the Private Secretary to the Dewan calling for a report on the claims of one Zanaraba, widow of Syed Shahabuddin Saheb and her minor son for the management of the Darga and its endowments, I have the honor to state that the Sub Division Officer, Dodballapur Sub Division, was requested to investigate the claims of the persons named above reports that the present registered manager of the makkan viz., Mr. Syed Meeran Hussain Saheb who was incapacitated to do the duties on account of illness is stated to have got over his illness and is desirous of assuming the office himself and as such the question of appointing a gumasta for the purpose does not arise at all, nor is he in favour of appointing a gosha lady as a gumasta manager which is against the established custom and secondly she is against the interests of the registered manager.

Under the above circumstances, I do not think that so long as the registered manager is alive and is capable of managing the affairs of the institution and its endowments himself, the question of the appointment of the gumasta for the office arises.

The Sub Division Officer's report No.A4-635/27-28 dated 6th August 1929 is also enclosed herewith for favour of your reference.

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

No.C3-264 -33 dated 6-8-33 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore. 11

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.1056/32-33 dated 6th April 1933 regarding the management of the affairs of the Kadrodeens Makkan at Melamangala, I have the honor to invite your kind reference to this office letter No.C3-1357/25 dated 12th August 1928 in which a report has already been submitted that the claims of Jaharabi to the Managership of the Makkan. The Amildar, Melamangala Taluk, who had once again been instructed to enquire into the matter and make a definite report in the matter of her claims states that the petitioner's whereabouts are not known, and the notice issued to her to the address furnished by her in 1928 was returned unserved, as she could not be found there and as such further enquiries about the claims of Jaharabi to the managership of the Makkan could not be made.

As regards the second point raised in your letter under reference viz., taking the management of the Makkan and its endowments under Government management it is true that the Joda on the village endowed to the Makkan, the Reserve and Muzrai Establishment Fund contributions amounting to Rs 476-12-3 have fallen into arrears and this sum has to be made good by the present manager of the Makkan. The Amildar, Melamangala Taluk, has been instructed to take coercive steps against the defaulter for the recovery of the amount outstanding.

Under the circumstances referred to above, the management of the Makkan and its endowments may be entrusted to the present petitioner, Syed Ahmed Hussaini as already recommended in this office letter No.C3-3042/32-33 dated 17th March 1933 as he is also stated to be a member of the Makdar's family and has undertaken to reside permanently at Melamangala.

The entire file of records connected with the case is enclosed herewith for your reference.

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

13

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

Petn. No. 3 50

Dated, Bangalore, 7 · 9 · 1933



AD 9 1933 / 919

The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is fowarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government Mangalore Department, for disposal.

By Order,

Rugaiaiah

~~*Private Secretary to the Dewan*~~



GENL. & REV. SE
293.
11. SEP. 1933
M

14

7.9.33
359

Mcos 88-33-2

Before the Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore.

The humble petition of Syed Hazarath Peeran, Manager of the Makan of Syed Shah Sadruddin Husaini, Nelamangala Taluk, residing at No. 22, Railway Feeder Cross Road, C. & M. Station, Bangalore, MOST RESPECTFULLY S H O W E T H :--

1. The petitioner, abovenamed, was appointed as Makkandar of Syed Shah Sadruddin at Nelamangala by an Order of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore dated 1st November 1930; and from that period is managing the abovesaid Makan.

2. That, prior to that period, the Government were managing the said Makan and the petitioner is reliably informed that there is a big surplus to the credit of the Makan with the Government.

3. That the total amount of Kandayam of the lands amount to Rs.600/- per annum, out of which the petitioner has to spend Rs.596/- for the expenses, without spending anything towards the periodical repairs to the Makan or anything towards the Tank which stands now in urgent need of repairs. The expenses to be met are as follows:-

(1).	Kandayam for Makan lands..	Rs.240/-.
(2).	Shanbhogue and Patel Potgi."	60/-.
(3).	Jodi amount to be paid to Government. ..	" 128/-.
(4).	Amount to be paid to Reserve Fund. ..	50/-.
(5).	Departmental Fund. ..	18/-.
(6).	Annual Urs Expenses. ..	100/-.
	Total. ..	Rs.596/-.

4. That it will be seen from the above list that almost all the Kandayam amount has to be spent away for

for payment of the above charges.

5. That the tank of the Inam village of Heggada Devanapura (which village is endowed to the Makkan) is not in good order for so many years, with the result that no wet cultivation can be undertaken for lands for which Rs.240/- is paid as Kandayam and the lands have an yielding next to nothing.

6. That the petitioner brought all the above facts to the notice of the Muzrai Commissioner as far ~~months~~ back as the 15th November 1932, and requested him to excuse the petitioner paying the Reserve Fund for the present and further requested him to sanction the surplus amount standing to the credit of the said Makan, for effecting immediate repairs to the Makan and the tank.

7. That the petitioner is very much grieved to say that though nearly ten months have elapsed since he approached the Muzrai Commissioner in the abovesaid matter, no orders have been passed on his petition; and, therefore, this petitioner has approached Your Honour for the redress of his grievances.

8. That, in the meanwhile, ~~th~~ some persons who are not well disposed towards this petitioner, because he was appointed Makandar of the said Makan in preference to them, are sending petitions to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, alleging that the petitioner is not looking after the Makan and has not effected the necessary repairs and hence he must be removed from that post as being unfit, etc.

9. That the petitioner humbly submits that the above allegations are all false and that he is doing his best in the matter and has been petitioning

the

the authorities for the grant of necessary funds; but, so far, he has not been successful.

10. W h e r e f o r e , the petitioner prays that Your Honour will kindly order the Muzrai - Commissioner to sanction to him the surplus amount standing to the credit of the said Makan, as early as possible, so as to effect the urgent repairs to the Makan and Tank; without which, there is every danger of the Makan becoming a ruin shortly; and further prays that he may be excused from paying the Reserve Fund amount for the present, till the finances of the said Makan improve; and that the petitioner submits that such an order is necessary in the interests of justice.

The petitioner herewith encloses the - copies of his Order of Appointment and petitions sent to the Muzrai Commissioner and Deputy Commissioner.

Bangalore City,
6th Septr., 1933.

PETITIONER.

Syed Hazrathpeerau

INGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

---- ----

17

Read :-

Sd/- H. C. Subba Rao,

"COPY"

6th September 1933.

Petitioner.

Syed Hargathpeeran

109 18

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District, Bangalore City.

S I R ,

I respectfully beg to bring the following for favour of your kind consideration and orders:-

As per Order of the Government of Mysore No. 996-99 Muz. 176-29-14 dated 31/ Ist Nov. 1930 (Your office No. C.3360/27-28 dated 10-11-1930) I am managing the Sadruudin Sha Makkan at Nolumangala and the Inam village Heggadadevenpura but on account of the present financial difficulties it was not possible to collect amount for the expenditure of the said Makkan and so I request you to be so kind as to order the payment of Rs. 300/- to me out of the funds of the said Makkan. The present scale of expenditure of the Makkan is Rs. 600/- per year and I am demanding only Rs. 300/- to meet the repairing, lighting and establishment charges for six months. I hope that you will be graciously pleased to grant my request to enable me to carry on the work properly. I understand that the Manager of the neighbouring Mallapur Makkan has also been given such a sum for the management of the Makkan.

Awaiting your kind orders and thanking you in anticipation,

BANGALORE CITY.)
)
)
)
8th. May. 1931.)

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

"Copy "

6th Septr., 1933.

Petitioner.

Syed Hazrathpeer

110 (19

From

Syed Hazrath Peeran,
Manager of the Makan of Syed Shah Sadruddin Hosaini, Nelamangala Tq.,
No.22, Railway Feeder Cross Road,
Bangalore Cantt.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Respected Sir,

I most respectfully beg to bring the following for favour of your kind orders:--

The total amount of Kandayam of the lands of the Makan of Syed Shah Sadruddin Hosaini at Nelamangala Taluk comes to Rs.600/- per annum, out of which the following expenditures are to be met:-

1. Kandayam for our own lands.	..	Rs.240/-.
2. Shanbhogue and Pateli Potgi.	..	" 60/-.
3. Jodi Kandayam to be paid to the Government Treasury.	..	" 128/-.
4. Amount to be paid for the Reserve Fund.	..	" 50/-.
5. Departmental Fund.	..	" 18/-.
6. Annual URS Expenditure.	..	" 100/-.
Total...		Rs.596/-.

By a kind perusal of the above Figures, Your Honour will see that out of Rs.600/-, almost the same amount is to be spent away, and so it is not possible to effect periodical repairs to the Makan and the same stands in need of urgent repairs at present.

Further, the Tank of Heggada Devanapore being quite out of repairs for many years, does not supply water to the lands for which a Kandayam of Rs.240/- is paid, and the lands are quite barren and do not yield any crop at all.

Such being the case, Your Honour might very well imagine the difficulties and hardships to which I am put on account of these inconveniences.

Under these circumstances, I beg to request Your Honour to be so kind as to excuse me the payment of the Reserve Fund for the present and kindly sanction the payment of the Surplus amount standing in credit of the said Makan

to the Makan and the Tank, for which act of kindness, I shall be ever grateful to you.

I beg to remain,

Respected Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Bangaloro,
15th Novr.,1932.

Sd/- Syed Hazrath Peeran.

"COPY"

6th Septr. 1933.

Petitioner.

Syed Hazrathpeeran

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 10th October 1933

No. 423.

From Mcy 89-33-3

The *Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1058/32-33 dated the 29th August 1933 regarding the management of the -- Sadruddin Makkan at Nelamangala, I am directed to forward herewith petition dated 6th September 1933 (together with its enclosures) from Mr. Syed Hazrath Peeran, Manager of the Makkan, in the matter and to request you to be so good as to submit a detailed report early on the several points urged therein. The Vernacular file is herewith returned for reference and return.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Financial Secretary to Government.

RS

2.2 74

ndix.F G—1]

BJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mcg

File No. 89-33.

Serial No. ~~2~~ 3

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 423 Forwarding petition with its enclosures and one file

To The M.C in Mannar

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 11/10/33

Signed by Mr B. Meedakrishna/Mr

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 10 5-8/3233 dated the 29.5.1933 regarding the management of the Sadeendin Makkan at Velanmangalam. I am directed to forward herewith petition dated 6-9-33 (together with its enclosures) from Mr Syed Hanifath Peeran Manager of the Makkan, in the matter and to request you to be so good as to submit a detailed report on the several points urged therein. The Vernacular file is herewith returned for reference and retransmission.

I/10/33

for P.C. Kang

WD 0253—GPB—15,000—26-8-32

GENL. & REV SECRETARIAT
435
21 OCT. 1933
MUZRAI.

23

Office of the
Second Member of Council.
19 OCT.
No.....3.b.b

If approved will be placed with the connected file herewith as a return to earlier file.
22/11.

3. [illegible]

he has not paid [illegible]

2A

not put in a false tak·ar ·ith intent to delay final orders being made in the c.se.

9. Therefore he prays that final orders be passed directing that he be given the post forthwith.

سید شاہ احمد حسینی

Bangalore:- 3/-16-10-1977. Petitioner.

Hakeem
C/o Syed Nasroddin Jilani
Unani Dispensary
Veerabhadra Street.
Bangalore Cantt

M C 13:]
No. 1058/32-33
Evel Records

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT 39 14 JUL 1934 MUZRAI B

25—

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE,

Mu 89-33-4

Dated, Bangalore, 10th July 1934.

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

13/7/34

To

S. Shamanna, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial *Department.*

Subject:- Management of Hazarath Syed Sha Sadaradeen Hussain Makkan at Nelamangala.

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter ---- No.423/Muz.89-33-3 dated 11th October 1933 forwarding for report -- a petition received from Mr. Syed Hazarath Peeran re the above, I have the honour to state as follows:-

2. The petition was forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner for --- report and simultaneously one of the Muzrai Auditors as deputed to examine in detail the accounts of the Makkan and the Sarvamanya ---- village of Heggadadevanapura endowed to it and report the result. The report submitted by the Auditor (copy enclosed in the records) disclosed a very unsatisfactory state of affairs. The report was sent to the Deputy Commissioner with instructions to arrange to -- bring the village accounts up to date, to take early action, with -- the co-operation of the Makkandar and to collect the heavy outstandings.

3. The detailed report submitted by the Sub Division Officer as a result of enquiry conducted by him and the Deputy Commissioner's letter dated 14th June 1934 (copy enclosed) explain the case fully. The --- Deputy Commissioner states, among other matters, that out of a total sum of Rs.6271-5-5 pending recovery at the end of 1929-30, a sum of Rs.2554-15-4 relates to the years prior to the taking of the village under Government management, the Makkandar being himself responsible to the extent of Rs.2007-5-8. He recommends that the ryots who --

have

have admitted the we
two equal annual instalm s e mounts involved.

4. The Deputy Commissioner further considers that restoration of the Makkan to the present manager has not led to any fruitful results, owing to his continued absence from ----- Nelamangala and recommends that the Makkan and the Endowments may b handed over to the management of Mr. Syed Sha Ahmad Hussain who is reported to be a member of the Hakdar's family, has agreed to reside at Nelamangala permanently and manage the Makkan and the village with the aid of a committee of Nazarin-e-owkoffs.

5. Even though a notice calling upon him to appear and show cause why the Makkan and its properties should not be resumed to Government was served, Mr. Syed Hazarath Peeran Sahib, the present Makkandar failed to put in his appearence and substantiate the -- allegations made in his petition presented to the Dewan and this office. In spite of ample opportunities afforded to the Makkandar to produce the accounts for scrutiny he failed to do so. The -- village officers have not been paid their potigi, the village --- accounts subsequent to 1929-30 are not posted as they are held up with the Makkandar, the scale of income and expenditure sanctioned in 1932 has not been given effect to and no meetings of the committee have been held. In the circumstances I agree with the Deputy Commissioner and request approval of Government to his proposals -- contained in para 4 as already recommended in this office letter of the 29th August 1933.

6. The entire file of vernacular papers is sent for reference and return.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. A. Shama Rao

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.S.32642/33-34 dated 14th June 1934 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.1058/32-33 dated the 29/30th November 1933, in the matter of the management of the properties of Hazarath Syed Shaw Sadrodeen Hussain Makkan at Nelamangala, I have the honour to forward herewith, in original, letter dated 28th March 1934 from the Sub Division Officer, Doddaballapur, submitting a detailed report on the several points touched upon in the Muzrai Auditor's note on the examination of the accounts of the Makkan and to state as follows:-

2. It will be seen from the Sub Division Officer's report that out of a total sum of Rs.6271-5-5 pending recovery in respect of jodi Heggadadevanapura village at the end of 1929-30, a sum of Rs.2554-15-4 relates to the years prior to the taking of the village under Government management and that out of this, the Makkandar hiself is a defaulter to the extent of Rs.2007-5-8. The Sub Division Officer further reports that the notice issued to the Makkandar to pay up this amount or to account for it has had no effect. The ryots are, however, reported to be agreeable to pay up all arrears which have accrued during the period of Government management and have prayed for permission to pay the same in five annual instalments. The Sub Division Officer recommends that they will be allowed to pay up the dues in two equal annual instalments. Having regard to the heavy amounts involved, I would suggest that the Sub Division Officer's recommendation may be approved. Necessary mutchalikas will be taken from the defaulters binding them to pay the amount in two equal annual instalments.

As regards the non-conduct of Jamabandi between the years 1923-24 and 27-28, it will be seen from the Sub Division Officer's report that owing to the influence and interference of the Makkandar the Jamabandi could not be completed although the accounts seem to have been written up and made ready. The Amildars who were in charge of the Taluk for a major portion of the period in question have all retired and as the best that was possible under the circumstances has been done by them, it does not seem necessary to pursue the matter further. It is also seen that action was taken from time to time to sell the lands of the defaulters and that no arrears have become time barred.

The Sub Division Officer's report makes it clear that the restoration of the Makkan to the present manager has not led to any ruinful results and that the conduct of services in the Makkan has also

a so een neg ected for a numb of y rs. Th s is ap
the fact that the manager to whom the Makkan was restored
associates did not reside at Nelamangala or take any interest in
affairs of the Makkan. I do not consider that the matters would
improved by merely taking over the Makkan once again into Gover
Management as past experience has shown that even while under Gover
management, the recovery of the dues has been severely handicapped owing
to the fact that the bulk of the amounts had to be paid by the Makkan
themselves. Instead, therefore, of reverting to the old system of
taking the institution under Government management, I would suggest
as originally reco mended by me in my letters dated the 17th March 19
and 10th August 1933 the management of the Makkan and its endowments
be entrusted to Syed Sha Ahmed Hussain who is also reported to be a
member of the Hakdari family, and who has undertaken to reside in Nela-
mangala and look after the Durga in a satisfactory manner. If it should
be found that even under the management of this individual the Makkan
continues to be managed unsatisfactorily, then the question of resumin
the management or making other satisfactory arrangements may be consid

The other points dealt with by the Muzrai Auditor are under inv
gation separately and detailed report as regards the other matters ref
to therein will be submitted separately.

The connected records are herewith sent for reference and return.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

VI—G 2]

31

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Mesc

File No. 89-34

Serial No. 5

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue ...2.2.-.8.-..... 193 4

Signed by Mr B Muddukrishnappa

Read— G.O.No. X 996-99/Mesc 176-29- dated 1.11.1930 approving of the proposal of the M.C to hand over the management of the Sadruddin Shah Makkan at Nelamangala with its endowed properties to Mr Syed Hazrath Ibram Sahib-

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ? Y/

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order thereon.—No ~~Correspondence~~ Petition received.

~~with~~ Letter No 1055/32-33 dated 29.5.33 from the M.C in which he has ~~given~~ above and recommended ~~his~~ the ~~Secretary~~ Executive Officer's Dy. Comm's proposal to entrust the manage ~~ment~~ of the Sadruddin Shah Makkan and its endowed properties ~~to the~~ ~~defunct~~ to Mr. Syed Ahmed Hussain a hereditary ~~trustee~~ of the Makkan may be sanctioned for a period of 2 years.

Petition dated 6.7.1933 from Mr Syed Hazrath Ibram, Hon. Sec of

1) Petition dt 19/8/29 from Zahirabi urging the claims of her son to manage the affairs of the Makkan.

3)

In connection with the subject
noted in the margin above, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of Further Police Enclosures
Letter No 1058/32-33 dated 12.7.
31 from the Magistrate
the Second Metropolitan Area
Calcutta recommending in the circumstances mentioned therein the proposal of D.C. Detective Department that the management of the well known Makkam at Nakhoda Masjid at which many persons who have prayed to God in Nekrosomadhi Bowbazar should be entrusted to Mr Syed Ali Hussain, a hereditary Mutwalli of the Makkam the present Imam of the Jumma Masjid and manager of the Makkam and the village with the aid of a Committee of Nazarin-e-Owkoffs.

B.C.O. -229-31 has been received from the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Detective Department who has deputed to examine in detail the working of the Makkam and it appears, discussed at length matters relating to the State affairs.

The D.C. Detective Department has also referred Police Station Order No 271-5-5 dated 19th December, 1930 and a total sum of Rs 2554-15-4 bearing regarding receipt and expenditure of the Makkam for the year 1929-30 a copy of which is enclosed herewith. It appears from the statement of Rs 2554-15-4 referred to that the Makkam has been in charge of the Mutwalli since 1907-5-0. It is also reported that for the last three years a balance ranging between Rs 1200 to Rs 1500 has been left unspent. The Mutwalli has explained that the balance has been left unspent as there was no special purpose for which the money could be spent.

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

why the Makkan and its properties should not be resumed to Syed [illegible] has failed, Mr Syed Hoyyath Peeran Sahib, the present Mukhtar has failed to put in his appearance and substantiate the allegations made by him in his petition heard ably, and that in spite of ample opportunities afforded to him to produce the accounts in question he has failed to do so. It is further stated that the village officers have not been paid their pay for the village accounts for 1929-30 are kept books in my own held up with the Makkan. That the [illegible] exhibited [illegible] had not been produced by the [illegible] Commissioner [illegible] the [illegible] Deputy Commissioner [illegible]

The restoration of the Makkan to the present Manager has had effect to very fruitful results, owing to his continued absence from Medina yet I recommend that the Makkan and its revenues be handed over to the Manager until [illegible] Shaikh Ahmed. His health is reported to be a serious one. The Makkan lands, and houses in Medina are known to be valuable, and income to Mukhtar, with the exception of [illegible] Shaikhs and the Mir agrees with the D.C. and proposes a [illegible] to the Native Commissioner Kantara.

In these circumstances you will [illegible] the proposal of the Commissioner Kantara and the Mir [illegible] and the Makkan lands to be handed over to Shaikh Ahmed Hussein, to be sanctioned tentatively for a period of two years as already suggested by him in his letter [illegible]

[illegible]

For [illegible]
The Mir is also with [illegible]
[illegible]

29

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Government Order No.996-99/Muz.176-29-14 dated 1st November 1930, approving of the proposal of the Muzrai Commissioner to hand over the management of the Sadruddin Sha Makkan at Nelamangala with its endowed properties to Mr.Syed Hazrath Peeran Saheb.

2. Petition dated 19th August 1929 from Mahirabi urging the claims of her son to manage the affairs of the makkan.

3. Letter No.1058/32-33 dated 29.8.1933, from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, reporting on the above petition and recommending that the Deputy Commissioner's proposal to enbrust the management of the makkan and its endowed properties to Mr. Syed Ahmed Hussain, a hakdar of the Makkan, may be sanctioned for a period of 2 years.

4. Petition dated 6../.1933, from Mr. Syed Hazarth Peeran, Manager of the above Makkan, regarding the management of the Institution.

5. Further correspondence ending with letter No.1058 32-33 dated 12.7.1934, from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, reporting on the second petition read above and recommending in the circumstances stated therein, sanction to the proposal of the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, that the management of the above Makkan and its endowed properties may be entrusted to Mr. Syed Ahmad Hussain, a hakdar of the Makkan and the Pesh Imam of the Jumma Masjid at Chikkamagalur who has agreed to stay in Nelamangala permanently and manage the makkan and the village with the aid of a Committee of Nazarin-o-o koffs.

ORDER NO. 29 - 31 | Muz 89 - 33 - Scheme records

Dated, Bangalore, the 21st 22nd August 1934.

It is reported that even though a notice calling upon ~~heirs~~ to appear and show cause why the Makkan and its properties should not be resumed to Government was served, Mr. Syed Hazarth Peeran Sahib, the present Makkandar has failed to put in his appearance and substantiate the allegations made by him in his petition read above, and that in spite of ample opportunities afforded to him to produce the accounts for scrutiny he

he has failed to do so. It is further stated that the Village officers have not been paid their potgi, that the Village accounts subsequent to 1929-30 are not posted as they are held up with the Makkandar, that the scale of income and expenditure sanctioned in 1932 has not been given effect to and that no meetings of the Committee have been held.

In these circumstances, Government are pleased to approve ~~in~~ of the recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner that the Deputy Commissioner's proposal to entrust the management of the Makkan and its properties to Mr. Syed Ahmad Hussein be sanctioned tentatively for a period of two years as already suggested by him in his letter dated 29th August 1933, read above.

B Muthanna

For Financial Secretary to Government.

BMS

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, with records.
" Comptroller to Government.
" Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

F.D.5/21.8.34.